

First Stage (1)

Requirements elicitation plan

- Risk issues for concern
 - Developers have no previous experience in building this particular type of system
 - How different is this system?
 - IT department is very unstable (staff left, staff negative)
 - Staff may be negative (e.g. conductors may be obsolete)
 - New technologies not an issue
- The emphasis on modern techniques

First Stage (2)

- Stakeholders
 - All named people, passengers, stinking trains staff, bus company executives and staff
 - Mobile phone companies
 - Who is in charge of the electronic gates?
- General techniques
 - Involvement of IT staff is crucial JAD, prototyping evaluation
 - Emphasising the new technology to keep the CIO in favour prototyping
 - Emphasising collaboration with other companies to keep the CFO in favour
 - Developers have to be involved in order to gain domain knowledge JAD

First Stage (3)

- Implementation details
- No justification of selected methods
- No link of selected techniques to risk
- Lack of detail in the implementation details
- Context diagram
 - External entities
 - Gate controls, Bus company systems, Mobile phone companies
 - Rail track maintenance, Accounting database, Ticket delivery, Payment system, <u>Timetable</u>
 - No justification of entities
 - Lack in detail in defining the scope of the system
 - Confusion between flows and use cases

First Stage (4)

Business use case diagram

- Purchase ticket (with mobile), Check ticket (with mobile)
- Too many use cases are suspect only very obvious and few relationships
- Some use case diagrams were a mess
- No descriptions
- Lack of consistency
- Business class diagram
 - Tickets (single, combination), Customer (with mobile phone), Routes (rail, bus), Trip, Train, Bus
 - Too many classes are suspect
 - Obvious omissions, No descriptions

First Stage (5)

- Overall performance very good!
 - No failures!
 - Best the requirements elicitation plan (avg 65%)
 - Worst the business use case diagram (avg 50%)
 - 44% 74% avg 61%